

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		52295/00101	7169	
09/836,691	04/17/2001	Donald Soares	EXAM	(INIED	
	590 02/13/2004 STIN BROWN & WO	OOD LLP	COSIMANO, EDWARD R		
717 NORTH HARWOOD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 3400 DALLAS, TX			3629		

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\Omega A$
,.	Application N	o. <b>T</b>	Applicant(s)	J-4-
	09/836,691		SOARES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Edward R. Co	simano	3629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the co	ver sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
and for Poply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by str  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	reply within the statutory riod will apply and will ex	nowever, may a reply be to minimum of thirty (30) do pire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	imely filed  ays will be considered time in the mailing date of this IFD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
tatus	17 Anril 2001 .			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is no	n-final.		
Za) This action is the termination of the second	lawanca avcent fo	or formal matters.	prosecution as to	the merits is
3)⊠ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un disposition of Claims	der Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are without	Irawn from consid	leration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are allowed.				
—				
in/ore abjected to		•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to:  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election red	quirement.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to recursive Application Papers				
OVEN The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 April 2001 is/are	e: a) accepted o	r b)⊠ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) t	oe held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.05(	a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∐ ap	proved b)∐ disar	proved by the Exa	miner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	i in reply to this Off	ce action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
- us I	uments have bee	n received.		
—	uments have bee	n received in Appl	ication No	
o Coming of the certified conies of th	e priority docume	ents have been red	ceived in this Natio	onal Stage
application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PC) r a list of the certi	fied copies not rec	ceived.	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for de	omestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(e) (to a provisi	onal application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua	ne provisional ap	plication has bee	n receivea.	
Attachment(s)				N - (-)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) No(s) <u>2.<b>¢</b> 3</u>	4) Interview Sur 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	mmary (PTO-413) Pap ormal Patent Applicatio	er No(s) n (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Summa	irv	Part of Paper N	lo. 5



- Application/Control Number: 09/836,691

Art Unit: 3629

ō

- 1. Applicant should note the changes to patent practice and procedure:
  - A) effective December 01, 1997 as published in the Federal Register, Vol 62, No. 197, Friday October 10, 1997;
  - B) effective November 07, 2000 as published in the Federal Register, Vol 65, No. 54603, September 08, 2000; and
  - C) Amendment in revised format, Vol. 1267 of the Official Gazette published February 25, 2003.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because
  - A) the drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims, therefore, the subject matter of claim(s) {fill in} must be shown in the drawings as required by 37 CFR § 1.83(a) or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s) (note: no new matter should be entered).
  - B) The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.81(c).
    - D) the following errors have been noted in the drawings:
    - (1) the drawings lack figs. 9, 12 & 13 as disclosed at line 1 of paragraph number 53 of the disclosure, "Figures 7-14 illustrate ... information into the pricing database 150.".
  - 2.1 A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
  - 2.2 Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.
  - 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
    - A) as required by 37 CFR § 1.84(p(5)) and 37 CFR § 1.121(e) the specification lacks an explicit reference to the nature of:

Application/Control Number: 09/836,691

Art Unit: 3629

## (1) how the program proceeds after step(s):

- (a) 260 of fig. 2 if the inquiry is "N" in paragraph number 22 of the disclosure, "A decisional step 260 ... different printers. The method then ends at step 270.";
- (b) 340 of fig. 3B if the inquiry is either "Y" or "N" in paragraph numbers 32 & 33 of the disclosure, "In one embodiment of the invention ... manual execution. The method then ends at step 360.";
- (c) 350 of fig. 3B if the inquiry is "N" in paragraph numbers 32 & 33 of the disclosure, "In one embodiment of the invention ... manual execution. The method then ends at step 360.".
- (d) 410, 445 & 470 of fig. 4 if the inquiry of each of these steps is "N" in paragraph numbers 40, 41 & 42 of the disclosure, "The present invention can ... in step 450. The method then ends at step 455.".

In this regard, it is noted that merely mentioning a number with out mentioning the device or operation of the step relies on the drawing to provide support for the disclosure and not to aid in the understanding of the invention, as is the purpose of the drawings (37 CFR § 1.81(a,b)).

- B) the following errors have been noted in the specification:
- E) as can be seen in figs. 9A, 9B, 12A, 12B, 13A & 13B and from the context of paragraph number 53 of the disclosure, "Figures 7-14 illustrate ... information into the pricing database 150.", at line 1 of this paragraph "7-14" should be -7, 8, 9A, 9b, 10, 11, 12A, 12B, 13A, 13B and 14—.
- D) the subject matter of claims {fill in} lacks antecedent basis within the specification as required by 37 CFR § 1.75(d1).

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The specification and drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification or drawings.



" Application/Control Number: 09/836,691

Art Unit: 3629

Applicant should note the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.74, § 1.75, § 1.84(o,p(5)), § 1.121(a)-1.121(f) & § 1.121(h)-1.121(i).

- This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:A) see above.
- 5.1 Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
- 5.2 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 (TWO) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER.
- 6. The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance over the prior art:

  A) the prior art, for example:
  - (1) Farrrell (5,383,129), which discloses using a stored bill/charge/rate information and the specifications of a print job to estimated the cost of the printing job.
  - (2) Akita (JP 10-171875), which discloses using a stored bill/charge/rate information and the specifications of a manufacturing job to estimated the cost of the manufacturing job as well as the required raw materials.
  - (3) either Maruta (6,064,838) or Antoniak or Sevcik et al (6,330,542), which discloses using a stored bill/charge/rate information and the specifications of a print job to estimated the cost of the printing job, where the operator may change the specifications of the print job before the job is started.
  - 94) Watanabe (JP 2001-92616), which discloses using a stored bill/charge/rate information and the specification of a print job sent over a network to estimated the cost of the printing job.
  - (5) either Quallen et al (2002/0128953) or Soars et al (2002/0152183), which discloses using a stored bill/charge/rate information and the specifications of a print job to estimated the cost of the printing job, where the buyer and seller may negotiate the estimate.
  - B) however, in regard to claims 1, 21, 34 & 37, the prior art does not teach or suggest a printing estimating system in which a central location determines estimates for



Art Unit: 3629

a number of print providers based on the specifications for a print job as received from an user, provides the user with at least the lowest cost estimate, and then permits the provider with the lowest cost estimated and the user to negotiation the actual cost of the print job. Claims 2-21, 22-30, 35, 36 & 38-41 are allowable for the same reason.

- C) however, in regard to claim 31, the prior art does not teach or suggest a printing estimating system in which a print job is estimated based on the specifications for a print job received from an user, the specifications of the print job are modified and the print job is run using the modified job specifications, obtaining a second estimating the print job based on the modified specifications and then comparing the actual cost of the print job invoice and the second estimate to determine if the actual invoice complies with second estimate or substantially high than the second estimate. Claims 32 & 33 are allowable for the same reason.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Cosimano whose telephone number is (703) 305-9783. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached on (703)-308-2702. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.
- 7.1 The fax phone number for **UNOFFICIAL/DRAFT FAXES** is (703) 746-7240.
- 7.2 The fax phone number for **OFFICIAL FAXES** is (703) 872-9306.
- 7.3 The fax phone number for **AFTER FINAL FAXES** is (703) 872-9306.

08/07/03

Edward R. Cosimano Primary Examiner A.U. 3629